			HOPES - HFI Service Report FY 2009	
			Program Name:LSI Clinton	
		Se	ction A, 1-10 Includes totals for the families ENROLLED during FY09	
A. FY	09 Enrol	Iment Tota	Comments	
		Percentage		
1	160	>< <u> </u>	Births/newborn screened for risk factors	
2	135	84%	High risk families with newborn identified & eligible for services	
3	135	100%	Of identified high risk with newborn offered HOPES-HFI	
4	44	$>\!\!<$	Target children enrolled	1 set of twins
5	48	$>\!\!<$	Families enrolled	
6	24	50%	Families enrolled prenatal	
7	5	$>\!\!<$	Enrolled prenatal, not delivered before July 1, 2009	
8	13	27%	Families enrolled mother is ≤ age 19 yr	
9	32	67%	Families enrolled, mother 1st time parent	
10	30	63%	Families enrolled, single parent family	
			FY09 CASELOAD	
B. F		eload Total		
		Percentage		
1	211	\sim	Unduplicated families served at project site since HOPES-HFI began	
2	56	\sim	Carryover families from FY08	
3	104	\sim	Families	
4	100	<u> </u>	Target children	
5	21	20%	Mothers age 18-19 yr when enrolled	
a.	7	7%	Age 15-17	
b.	0	0%	Age ≤ 14	
6	45	43%	Families on caseload who were enrolled prenatal	
/	63	61%	Families on caseload, mother 1st time parent	
8	58	56%	Families on caseload, single parent family	
9	99	95%	Families, parent is Caucasian	
10	3	3%	Families, parent is African American	
11	1	1%	Families, parent Asian/Oriental	
12	0	0%	Families, parent Asian/Oriental	
13 14	78	0%	Families, parent Native American	
		load Pick F	Additional children ≤ age 18 yr residing in the family home <u>actors Assessed Initial Few Home Visits Following Enrollment</u>	
C. IC	Number	Percentage	ASSESSEU IIIILIAI FEW HOITIE VISIUS FOIIOWING ENFOIIIIENT	
1	40	38%	Primary wage earner is unemployed	
2	90	87%	Inadequate income	
3	26	25%	Inadequate income Inadequate or unstable housing	
4	8	8%	No Phone	
5	27	26%	Unreliable transportation	
6	13	13%	Social isolation - limited emergency or family contacts	
	10	1370	1	

7	31	30%	Parents formal education less than high school/ GED
8	25	24%	Parental history of special education or learning disabilities
9	4	4%	Current substance abuse of either parent
10	26	25%	History of substance abuse of either parent
11	17	16%	Marital or family problems
12	52	50%	History or current mental illness/depression/psychiatric care
13	23	22%	History or current family domestic violence
14	52	50%	Fear or inadequate feelings about parenting
15	0	0%	Indifferent, intolerant interaction with infant
16	1	1%	History induced/attempted abortion this pregnancy
17	8	8%	History of/or removal older children to foster care
18	4	4%	Termination of parental rights to older children
19	93	89%	Families with 3 or more risk factors

D. FY	09 Exits								
		Percentage	è						
1	48	~	Families exited prograi	m					
2	4	8%	Exits with family goals						
3	25	52%	Exits family goals parti						
4	19	40%	Exits family goals not r						
5	38	$>\!\!<$	Times families moved/	changed resi	dences				
6	9	19%	Exits due to family mov						
E. FY	09 Reten	tion Rate							
	Number	Percentage)						
1	11	><	Average months, inclu	ding prenatal	, families parti	cipated prior	to exit		
2	18	17%	Families exit before tar	get child is 6	mo of age	•			
F. FY	09 Health	h							
Preg	nancy and	d Birth Infor	mation						
	Number	Percentage)						
1	13	13%	Target children born at	VLBW & LB	W				
2	93	89%	Women received initial	prenatal car	e 1st trimeste	•			
3	1	1%	Women received no pr	enatal care					
4	96	92%	Women received ≥ 5 p	renatal care	exams/appoin	tments			
5	75	72%	Families use family pla	nning or a m	ethod of birth	control			
G. He	ealth Care	e Coverage	(HCC) for Parents						
	Number	Percentage)						
1	99	95%	Families parent(s) have	e health care	coverage.				
H. He	alth Care	e Coverage	(Insurance) for Targe	t Children					
	Number	Percentage)						
1	89	89%	Medicaid						
2	0	0%	Hawk-I						
3	0	0%	Medicare (SSI or other)					
4	10	10%	Private Insurance						
5	0	0%	No health care coverage	ge as of June	30, 2009				
I. Hea	alth Care	for Target	Children						
	Number	Percentage							
1	94	94%	Medical Home: Estab						
2	84	84%				th services for	<u>or age</u> accordir	ng to the EPSDT	s Some families terminated services
$\geq \leq$	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	(except Dental/Oral He		Screen)				
3	12	$>\!\!<$	Target children > age 2						
4	11	92%	Target children with im				d schedule by	age 2	
5	0	0%	Target children with leg		unization exen	nption			
6	34	$>\!\!<$	Target children ≥ 12 m						
7	11	32%	Lead Poisoning: Num			o. screened f	or Blood Lead	Level (BLL)	
8	0	0%	Target children with an						
9	0	#DIV/0!	Target children receive				ted BLL		
10	0	#DIV/0!	Target children with BL	L resolved to	normal by er	nd of FY07			
·						5			

11	70	70%	Developme	ntal Scree	omental	75 children = or greater than 4 mo.				
>>	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	delay per ag	je						
12	18	26%	Target child	ren with su	spected/pote	ntial developn	nent delay id	entified		
13	17	94%	Target child	ren with su	spected/pote	ntial developn	nent delay re	ferred to Early	ACCESS	
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	identified							
14	5	29%	Target child	ren with su	spected/pote	ntial developn	nent delay w	ho participated	l in Early	
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$	ACCESS fo	llowing refe	erral					
15	7	21%	Dental Hom	e: Target	children <u>></u> 12ı	no accessed	Dental provi	der or I-Smile	services	
16										
\sim	$>\!\!<$	$>\!\!<$								
17	24	100%	Pregnant wo	men who	had <u>></u> 1 denta	l exam/scree	ning prenata	ĺ		
			FY09 S	ocial, Envi	ronmental, E	motional an	d Communi	ty		
J. Fat	her (FOE	3) Target C	hild Involve	ment						
	Number	Percentage	е							
1	84	81%	Families, Fa	ther of the	target child (FOB) involved	k			
2	7	7%	Families ma	le involved	l in "fatherhoo	d role" other	than FOB			

K. Ch		e/Neglect							
	Number	Percentage)						
1	6	6%	Families on caseload	with confirmed	d child abuse/	neglect prior	to FY09		
	For TAR	GET CHILE)						
	Number	Percentage)						
3	7	7%	Confirmed abuse/ne	glect of target of	hild				
4	4	4%	Target children place	ed in foster hom	ie				
5	1	$>\!\!<$	Confirmed abuses of	target child fol	lowing report	by HOPES-F	İFI		
6	6	86%	Families continued F	IOPES-HFI par	ticipation follo	wing confirm	ed abuse of ta	rget child	
L. Ch	ild Care	for Target (Child			_			
	Number	Percentage)						
1	4	4%	In non-registered hor	me					
2	1	1%	In a registered home						
3	39	39%	With relative/friend						
4	3	3%	In a non-licensed chi	ldcare center					
5	12	12%	In a licensed childca	re center					
6	0	0%	Accepted and access	sed Child Care	Resource & F	Referral			
7	1	1%	Received DHS child	care assistance					
M. Pr	e-School	for Target	Child				'		
	Number	Percentage)						
1	0	0%	Target children > age	e 3 yr					
2	0	#DIV/0!	Target children age	3 yr enrolled i	n a pre-schoo	or Head Sta	art		
N. Fa	mily Acc	ess of Com	nmunity Services						
	Number	Percentage)						
1	9	9%	Accessed domestic	/iolence/abuse	services				
2	3	1%	Accessed training an	ds voc-ed serv	ices (disability	, technical tr	aining		
3	24	23%	Accessed employme	nt services (wo	rkforce devel	opment, une	mployment		
4	10	10%	Accessed financial/b	udget assistand	се				
5	32	31%	Accessed housing su	upport (rent ass	istance, heat	assistance, I	HUD)		
6	26	25%	Accessed mental he	alth services fo	r parent				
7	2	2%	Accessed mental he	alth services fo	r target child				
8	5	5%	Accessed substance	abuse treatme	nt services				
9	13		Accessed a public "c			es			
10	24		Enrolled in family Inv		am (FIP)				
11	17		Enrolled in Promise	` '					
12	25	24%	Accessed further edu	ucation (GED, 0	Comm. Colleg	e, 2nd langu	age		
13	40	38%	Participated in paren	ting education	class/group				
14	6	6%	Used public/other tra	nsportation (bu	s, taxi, volunt	eers)			
15	22	21%	Accessed a commun	ity resource ce	nter				
16	13	13%	Accessed a library fo	r children's boo	oks				
17	1	1%	Accessed crisis child	care					
18	0	$>\!\!<$	Total hours of crisis	child care used	by families				
O. Nu	utrition					_			
						3			

	Number	Percentage)						
1	96	92%	Families er	rolled in Wi	С				
2	25	24%	Mothers bro	eastfeeding	target child u	pon discharge	from hospit	al	
3	14	56%	Mothers co	ntinued bre	astfeeding tar	get child age	<u>></u> 6 mo		
4	67	64%	Families re	ceived DHS	food assista	nce (food sta	mps)		
5	4	4%	Families er	rolled in Fo	od and Nutriti	on Program (FNP)		
6	15	14%	Families ac	cessed foo	d pantries/cor	nmodity foods	3		

P. Fa	mily Prol	blem Asses	ssment & Progress							
			initial assessment du	ring first few ho	ome visits, me	asure only fo	r families with	a target		
		e <u>></u> 6 mo.				-				
		Percentage	e							
1	74	71%	Families with age of	target child age	e > 6 mo.					
	# Families Problem Identified		Problem Ide	# Resolved	# Improved	# Not Improved				
		22	Living Situation		0	13	9			
		24	Social Isolation		0	17	7			
		18	Transportation		2	6	10			
		8	Home Safety		0	5	3			
		46	Financial Situation		2	22	22			
		21	HOH Employment		1	6	14			
		7	Access to Health Ca	re	1	3	3			
		10	Substance Abuse		1	4	5			
		24	Education		0	8	16			
		30	MH/Emotional Statu	 S	1	16	13			
		8	Domestic Violence		0	6	2			
		15	Parenting Skills/Disc	ipline	1	7	7			
		4	Personal Hygiene	•	0	3	1			
		23	Age Appropriate Act	vities	0	17	6			
		19	Appropriate Child Ca	3	8	8				
		40	Care of Infant		1	27	12			
		47	Child Growth & Development		1	39	7			
		5	Parent-Child Interaction		2	1	2			
Q. HC	PES-HF	I Services								
	Number	Percentage	Э							
1	1657		Home visits to provide	le HOPES-HFI	services by F	SW/PM/ Sup	ervisor			
2	17	1%	Joint home visits wit	n FSW by PM/S	Supervisor					
3	257	13%	Attempted or not ho	ne, not found (NHNF) visits b	y HOPES-HI	FI staff			
4	11	$>\!\!<$	Units of direct transp	ortation provid	ed (1 round tri	p or 1 way tri	p = 1unit)			
R. Ed	linburgh	Postnatal I	Depression Screen							
1	85	$>\!\!<$	Number of Clients se	creened (Pre a	nd Postnatal) I	Jnduplicated				
2	187 Total number of EPDS done									
$>\!\!<$	2.20 Avg # of EPDS per client (do not no				eed to enter #	#)				
3	43 23% Number of screens positive for poss			sible depressi	on					
4	42 98% Number of referrals made									
a.	16	38%	To Physician/PCP							
b.	25	60%	To MH provider							
C.	1	2%	To other							
5	10	24%	Number of clients w							
6	10	100%	Number of clients w	no received inte	ervention/trmt	after <u>acces</u> se	ed provider			
					<u> </u>	, 	·		·	

7	15	35%	Number of	clients with				
\sim	\times	\mathbb{X}	treatment f	or depression	on			